FOREST POLICY REFORM PROJECT

Technical Paper No 6:

COMMUNITY LIVELIHOODS AND

COMMON PROPERTY RESOURCES

IN CAMBODIA

by

Rebecca Butterfield

Associates in Rural Development (ARD, Inc.)

March 1998

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	ISSUES	1
2.1	Common Property Resources	1
2.2	Case Studies on Household Livelihoods	2
2.2.1	Rice producing village near Tonle Sap Lake, Pursat Province	2
2.2.2	Three villages in Kampong Thom Province	4
2.2.3	Swidden Agriculturalists in Ratanakiri Province	7
2.3	Need to Secure, Protect and Manage Community Resources	11
3.0	COMMUNITY RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	11
3.1	Justification	11
3.2	Key Elements for Community Resource Management	12
3.2.1	Community organization	12
3.2.2	Security of rights	12
3.2.3	Economic benefits	13
3.2.4	Ecological viability	14
3.2.5	Monitoring and enforcement	14
3.3	Different Models for Different Situations	14

3.4	Technical Assistance and Resources Needed	15
3.4.1	Role of central and provincial government agencies	15
3.4.2	Training	16
3.4.3	Resources	16
3.5	Community Forestry Sub-decree	17
4.0	COMMUNITIES WITHIN OR NEAR FOREST CONCESSIONS AND PARKS	
4.1	Community Rights	18
4.2	Common Objectives: Communities and Concessionaires	19
4.3	Incorporation of Community Development in Forest Management Plans	19
4.3.1	Subsistence use of forest products	20
4.3.2	Income generating activities	20
4.3.3	Mechanisms for conflict resolution	21
4.4	Incorporation of Community Development in Park Management Plans	22
5.0	CONCLUSIONS	22
5.1	Immediate Actions	22
5.2	Medium Term Actions	22
5.3	Long Term Actions	23
6.0	REFERENCES	24

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In an economy where agriculture provides direct employment to 80% of Cambodia's labor force and contributes 45% of GDP, decisions regarding land use and natural resource management are critical to economic development. Furthermore, the dependence of 85% of the Cambodian population on fanning and land resources underscores the importance of considering the role rural people can and do play in the exploitation of those resources. Although the government is working towards increasing agricultural production of rice and improving the standard of living for rural farmers, man does not live by rice alone. It is on state-claimed land that many rural households fish, hunt, gather firewood and wild food, and collect the inputs for income-generating activities. Without access to these resources, many of which are being leased to private companies, rural farmers would not be able to survive--even if rice yields doubled. In years of disasters--floods or droughts--these resources on state land are precisely the resources, not the state itself, that serve to sustain families through the crisis.

Large areas of state owned land have been allocated by the central government over the last few years for a variety of purposes. The most discussed type of land allocation in the national and international press, has been timber concessions. The Ministry of Agriculture also grants agricultural concessions for private development. Additional allocations include military concessions, leasing of fishing lots and the declaration of protected areas. An estimate of central government land allocations made to date shows that nearly 70% of the national territory has been allocated. The largest land allocation type is forest concessions that cover 39% of the national territory. Protected areas cover an additional 18% of the country while agriculture, military and fishing concessions each cover 4% of the territory.

What are the consequences of these land allocation decisions on Cambodia's rural population? Rural farmers are living at the subsistence level with few accumulated assets to meet family needs during times of crisis or crop failure. Approximately 40% of Cambodians live in absolute poverty and do not have enough resources to consume the minimum daily calorie requirements. One half of children between the ages of 0 and 5 years are either stunted or underweight. The precarious situation of rural farmers indicates that they are still dependent on subsistence activities to survive, and have few additional resources on which to rely should resources become scarce or unavailable.